

Ruby master - Feature #10463

:~@ and !@ are not parsed correctly

11/01/2014 02:13 AM - sawa (Tsuyoshi Sawada)

Status:	Rejected
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
Target version:	
Description	
The at mark in literal symbols :~@ and !@ are ignored.	
<pre>:~@ # => :~ :!@ # => :!</pre>	

History

#1 - 11/01/2014 02:37 AM - silverhammermba (Max Anselm)

That's because bash is trying to interpolate the string.

```
$ ruby -e 'p :!@'  
:!
```

#2 - 07/09/2019 03:58 AM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

I did some research, and this is related to the fact that Ruby allows !@ and ~@ as method names (back to the initial SVN revision for ~@), silently dropping the @ from the method name:

```
class A  
  def !@; :!@ end  
  def ~@; :~@ end  
end  
  
!A.new  
# :!  
~A.new  
# :~  
A.instance_methods(false)  
# => [!@, :~@]
```

This diff would remove this behavior:

```
diff --git a/parse.y b/parse.y  
index 33f1ef072e..ed7dae8955 100644  
--- a/parse.y  
+++ b/parse.y  
@@ -8772,6 +8772,7 @@ parser_yylex(struct parser_params *p)  
    if (IS_AFTER_OPERATOR()) {  
        SET_LEX_STATE(EXPR_ARG);  
        if (c == '@') {  
+           pushback(p, c);  
            return '!';  
        }  
    }  
@@ -9184,9 +9185,6 @@ parser_yylex(struct parser_params *p)  
  
    case '~':  
        if (IS_AFTER_OPERATOR()) {  
-           if ((c = nextc(p)) != '@') {  
-               pushback(p, c);  
-           }  
            SET_LEX_STATE(EXPR_ARG);  
        }  
        else {
```

However, it breaks using ~@ and !@ as method names, which is breaks one test in bootstrapest. This is because both the symbol and the method name use fname in the parser. There is probably a way to remove support for using these in symbols but keeping the support in method names that I am not currently aware of. However, I'm sure if we want to keep supporting ~@ and !@ in method names.

FWIW, JRuby handles :~@ and :!@ the same way as CRuby.

#3 - 07/09/2019 03:30 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

If :!@ and :! are different things, also !foo and foo.! are different things. This means a backward incompatibility.

#4 - 07/09/2019 03:56 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada) wrote:

If :!@ and :! are different things, also !foo and foo.! are different things.

I don't believe that is true. With the above patch:

```
class A
  def !; :! end
  def ~; :~ end
end
```

```
!A.new
# :!
A.new.!
# :!
~A.new
# :~
A.new.~
# :~
```

The @ in :!@ and def !@; end was ignored during lexing before, it doesn't affect the semantics. This is different than +@ and +:

```
class A
  def +; :+ end
  def +@; :+@ end
end
```

```
+A.new
# :+@
A.new.+
# :+
```

This means a backward incompatibility.

The backward incompatibility should be limited to making the following invalid syntax:

```
def !@; end
def ~@; end
alias foo !@
alias bar ~@
:!@
:~@
```

Currently, :!@ is different than :"!@", which very much appears to be a bug. With the above patch :!@ is a syntax error (:"!@" is still valid).

#5 - 07/09/2019 04:40 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans) wrote:

nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada) wrote:

If :!@ and :! are different things, also !foo and foo.! are different things.

I don't believe that is true. With the above patch:

Sorry, forgot @, I wanted to mean !foo and foo.!@.

#6 - 07/09/2019 04:53 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada) wrote:

jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans) wrote:

nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada) wrote:

If !:@ and !: are different things, also !foo and foo.! are different things.

I don't believe that is true. With the above patch:

Sorry, forgot @, I wanted to mean !foo and foo.!@.

Well, foo.!@ would be a syntax error with the patch. Is there a reason other than backwards compatibility to keep this automatic aliasing of !@ to ! and ~@ to ~? If not, maybe we could deprecate this in 2.7 and remove it in Ruby 3 (if matz approves).

#7 - 07/10/2019 09:27 AM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)

Interesting - I did not know this. sawa finds stuff. :)

Personally I would be in favour of changing the behaviour as Jeremy described (I also think this may be a bug or perhaps an oddity), but I guess it depends on a) whether matz wants to change it in the first place and b) then when to change it, if a) evaluates to a change.

A secondary consideration may be to query how many ruby users depend on this backwards behaviour.

I have no statistical dataset to make a statement either way, but from intuition, I would venture that this change would not affect a lot of ruby code out there; I could be wrong though.

#8 - 07/18/2019 07:22 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- *File tilde-bang-at-symbols.patch added*

matz confirmed in the last developer meeting that he wants def !@; end to continue to work. However, I still think we should fix it so that !:@ and :~@ are not treated as !: and :~. This is a bit tricky to do as the parser currently uses the same lex state for both cases (EXPR_FNAME).

The simplest way I can think to fix this is in the attached patch. It changes the !: and :~ cases to use lex state EXPR_FNAME|EXPR_FITEM, and changes the code to check on whether EXPR_FITEM is one of the lex states. If EXPR_FITEM is one of the lex states, then it doesn't ignore the @.

#9 - 07/30/2019 07:40 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- *Assignee set to nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)*

#10 - 09/02/2019 06:30 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- *Backport deleted (2.0.0: UNKNOWN, 2.1: UNKNOWN)*

- *ruby -v deleted (2.1.4)*

- *Assignee changed from nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada) to matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)*

- *Tracker changed from Bug to Feature*

#11 - 12/20/2019 08:12 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

- *Status changed from Open to Rejected*

I don't see the practical benefit of this proposal. Besides that incompatibility is a clear drawback.

Matz.

Files

tilde-bang-at-symbols.patch	2.22 KB	07/18/2019	jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)
-----------------------------	---------	------------	-----------------------------