Ruby master - Feature #11084
Use rb-readline instead of ext/readline
04/21/2015 12:43 PM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

Status: Rejected
Priority:Normal
Assignee: hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Target version:

Description
Users who want to build ruby needs to prepare readline library.
Preparation of building environment is hard for many users.
I propose to use rb-readline that it's pure ruby implementation of readline instead of ext/readline.

History
#1 - 04/21/2015 01:30 PM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- File rb-readline.patch added

I missed to attach patch.

#2 - 04/21/2015 01:48 PM - usa (Usaku NAKAMURA)
I'm neutral about this issue, but I wonder why you don't simply unbundle ext/readline instead of replacing it by rb-readline.

#3 - 04/21/2015 01:59 PM - Hanmac (Hans Mackowiak)
i don't know about, the binding/implementation using the C lib might be faster and have more features so using a pure-ruby implementation might not a 100% good idea

#4 - 01/31/2018 07:14 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Assignee set to hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Status changed from Open to Assigned

#5 - 01/31/2018 12:13 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)
How fast does it load?
That seems important for command-line applications

#6 - 03/05/2019 11:48 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Status changed from Assigned to Rejected

The last update of rb-readline is 2 years ago. I think we shouldn't replace it.
And aycabta (aycabta _) try to create the readline alternative. If we replace readline to pure ruby implementation, we should use it.

Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Assignee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rb-readline.patch</td>
<td>99.2 KB</td>
<td>04/21/2015</td>
<td>hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>