Let's introduce ID key table to optimization.

**Background**

Now, most of tables are implemented by st_table. st_table is O(1) hash data structure.

MRI uses ID keys tables for many purpose like:

- method tables (mtbl) for classes/modules
- constant table for classes/modules
- attribute (index) tables for classes/modules/... and so on.

Generally st_table is reasonable performance (speed/memory consumption), but st_table has several drawbacks for ID key table.

- Need two words per entry for ordering (st_table_entry::olist). (memory)
- Need hash value (st_table_entry::hash). (memory)
- Need to call a function to compare IDs. (speed)

I think introducing ID key table data structure is reasonable.

Already, Yura Sokolov proposed sa_table #6962 for this purpose. However, we can't introduce it because of several reasons.

1. expose with st table (public API)
2. sa_table assume ID as 32bit integer.

I need to explain (2) more. There were two reasons.

**Biggest issue was Symbol GC.** We planed to introduce Symbol GC. At that time, we didn't decide we use sequential number for IDs created from dynamic symbols. Actually, the first implementation of Symbol GC uses corresponding Symbol's object_id to represent ID.

Another issue was the available ID numbers. ID has several fields (3 bits), so we can make $2^{32} = 536,870,912$ IDs. We need at least (8+40)B per ID, so $536,870,912$ IDs consumes $171,798,691,840 = 171GB$. It is huge, but we can't decide it is enough for future.

However, now the above issues are solved.

All IDs has sequential number from 1 (0 is reserved as undefined). So that the current bit pattern of ID is [sequential number (64-3 or 32-3 bits)] [flags (3 bits)].

We can convert ID to [sequential number] and [sequential number] to ID. So ID key table only keep [sequential number] as a key, instead of ID itself. With this technique, we can keep $2^{32}$ IDs. Maybe it is enough because $2^{32}$ IDs need at least $1,374,389,534,720 = 1.3TB$. (or not enough in a few years later?)

Anyway, using this technique, we can keep more IDs in 32bit integer.
Implementation

I want to introduce "struct rb_id_table" data structure for MRI interpreter private data structure.

id_table.h declare only common functions.
https://github.com/ko1/ruby/blob/6682a72d0f46ab3ae4c069a9e534dc0c050363f7/id_table.h

Each functions can be implemented any algorithms.

I wrote several variations.

(1) Using st (same as current implementation)
(2) Using simple array
(3) Using simple array with sequential number of ID instead of ID itself. Linear search for look up.
(4) Using simple array with sequential number of ID instead of ID itself, and sorted. Binary search for look up.
(5) Using funny falcon's Coalesced Hashing implementation [Feature #6962]

Generally, array implementation seems bad idea, but my implementation for (3), (4) uses dense array of 32bit integers, so that cache locality is nice when it is enough small table (16 entries == 64B).

Here is a small benchmark to measure memory consumption:

```ruby
require 'objspace'
def make_class method_num
  Class.new{
    method_num.times{|i|
      define_method("m#{i}"){
      }
    }
  }
end
C = make_class(10_000)
p ObjectSpace.memszie_of(C)
__END__

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of methods</th>
<th>10K</th>
<th>20K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) st</td>
<td>496,560</td>
<td>992,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) list(ID key):</td>
<td>262,296</td>
<td>524,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) list(sorted):</td>
<td>196,760</td>
<td>393,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) CHashing</td>
<td>262,296</td>
<td>524,440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

I found that most of method tables are 0 or a few entries.

This is a survey of method number on simple rails app (development mode).
X axis shows method number and Y axis shows the number of classes/modules which have method number == X-axis.

This picture shows that 3500 classes/modules has 0 methods.
Only a few classes/modules has over 100 methods.

So combination with array (for small number of entries) and hash (for larger number of entries) will be nice.

Now I replace type of m_tbl to this struct.
See all of implementation.
https://github.com/ruby/ruby/compare/trunk...ko1:mtbl

Conclusion

We can compete algorithms :)

Related issues:
Related to Ruby master - Feature #6962: Use lighter hash structure for method... Closed
id_table.h: introduce ID key table. [Feature #11420] This table only manages ID-VALUE table to reduce overhead of st. Some functions prefixed `rb_id_table_*` are provided.

id_table.c: implement `rb_id_table_*`. There are several algorithms to implement it. Now, there are roughly 4 types:

- st
- array
  - hash (implemented by Yura Sokolov)
  - mix of array and hash The macro `ID_TABLE_IMPL` can choose implementation. You can see details about them at the head of `id_table.c`. At the default, I choose 34 (mix of list and hash). This is not final decision. Please report your suitable parameters or your data structure.
- symbol.c: introduce `rb_id_serial_t` and `rb_id_to_serial()` to represent ID by serial number.
- internal.h: use `id_table` for method tables.
- class.c, gc.c, marshal.c, vm.c, vm_method.c: ditto.

symbol.c: introduce `rb_id_serial_t` and `rb_id_to_serial()` to represent ID by serial number.

internal.h: use `id_table` for method tables.

class.c, gc.c, marshal.c, vm.c, vm_method.c: ditto.

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@51541 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e
hash (implemented by Yura Sokolov)
- mix of array and hash The macro ID_TABLE_IMPL can choose implementation. You can see details about them at the head of id_table.c.
  At the default, I choose 34 (mix of list and hash). This is not final decision. Please report your suitable parameters or your data structure.
- symbol.c: introduce rb_id_serial_t and rb_id_to_serial() to represent ID by serial number.
- internal.h: use id_table for method tables.
- class.c, gc.c, marshal.c, vm.c, vm_method.c: ditto.

Revision 51541 - 08/12/2015 08:43 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- id_table.h: introduce ID key table. [Feature #11420] This table only manage ID->VALUE table to reduce overhead of st. Some functions prefixed rb_id_table_* are provided.
- id_table.c: implement rb_id_table_*'. There are several algorithms to implement it. Now, there are roughly 4 types:
  - st
  - array
  - hash (implemented by Yura Sokolov)
  - mix of array and hash The macro ID_TABLE_IMPL can choose implementation. You can see details about them at the head of id_table.c.
  At the default, I choose 34 (mix of list and hash). This is not final decision. Please report your suitable parameters or your data structure.
- symbol.c: introduce rb_id_serial_t and rb_id_to_serial() to represent ID by serial number.
- internal.h: use id_table for method tables.
- class.c, gc.c, marshal.c, vm.c, vm_method.c: ditto.

History

#1 - 08/06/2015 08:56 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Related to Feature #6962: Use lighter hash structure for methods table, instance variable positions, constants added

#2 - 08/06/2015 08:56 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Related to Feature #11414: Relax ID table ordering added

#3 - 08/06/2015 12:08 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
Go ahead and experiment the idea.

Matz.

#4 - 08/06/2015 01:12 PM - ngoto (Naohisa Goto)
- Related to Feature #9638: [PATCH] limit IDs to 32-bits on 64-bit systems added

#5 - 08/06/2015 01:42 PM - ngoto (Naohisa Goto)
Indeed, I'm using machines with 2TB or 3TB main memory, and theoretically the upper limit of 1,374,389,534,720 = 1.3TB can be reached today.
(though this may be very rare case in practice)
I think to prepare a compile-time option to extend ID bits is enough for now.

We need at least (8+40)B per ID, so 536,870,912 IDs consumes 171,798,691,840 = 171GB.

Is "(8+40)B" a typo of (8*40)B ?

#6 - 08/06/2015 02:02 PM - funny_falcon (Yura Sokolov)
Koichi Sasada, i've made another one "hash" for your experiments
https://github.com/ko1/ruby/pull/1

#7 - 08/06/2015 06:18 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
On 2015/08/06 23:02, funny_falcon@gmail.com wrote:

Koichi Sasada, i've made another one "hash" for your experiments

Thank you!
Which implementation do you like?

--
// SASADA Koichi at atdot dot net

#8 - 08/06/2015 06:54 PM - funny_falcon (Yura Sokolov)
Which implementation do you like?

The one which will be faster.

Quadratic probing is simpler, so if it is not slower (or with in couple of percents) than coalesced chaining in usual application (big rails application :)), then it is certainly preferred.

If per-class method cache were merged/implemented, then sorted array will be enough (probably).

--

Sokolov Yura aka funny_falcon

I added `mix` data structure.
https://github.com/ko1/ruby/blob/f965b9bb3fc42ceebb0dc30461a44bc18fb550452/id_table.c

I measured another script.

```ruby
$classes = []
M = 40
10_000.times{|i|
  defs = (1..M).map{|e|
    "def m#{e}; end"
  }.join("\n")
eval "<<EOS
class C#{i}
  $classes << self
  #{defs}
end
EOS"
}
require 'objspace'
p $classes.reduce(0){|r, klass| r + ObjectSpace.memsize_of(klass)}
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPL#</th>
<th>method# (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:st</td>
<td>7,040,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:list</td>
<td>5,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:list</td>
<td>5,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:hash</td>
<td>5,360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:hash</td>
<td>5,360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31:mix</td>
<td>5,360,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Basically, we don't need to care about size for practical cases (small amount of methods) :p
I will commit them soon.

#10 - 08/11/2015 01:11 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- File measurement2.png added

measurement2.png

#11 - 08/11/2015 04:20 PM - funny_falcon (Yura Sokolov)

Koichi Sasada, did you measure performance of mix approach compared to hash?
Perhaps, threshold should be set to lower value than 32.

#12 - 08/11/2015 08:16 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- File microbenchmark.pdf added

Koichi Sasada, did you measure performance of mix approach compared to hash?
require 'objspace'
require 'benchmark'

$results = []
$insert_perf = []
$hit_perf1 = []
$hit_perf2 = []
$miss_perf1 = []
$miss_perf2 = []
srand(0)

0.step(to: 100, by: 10){|m|}
  classes = []

defs = (1..m).map{|e| "def m#e; end"}.sort_by(rand).join("\n")
$insert_perf << Benchmark.measure{
  10_000.times{|i|}
    classes << Class.new{
      eval(defs)
      def method_missing mid
        end
    }
  }
}.real

objs = classes.map{|klass| klass.new}
ms = (1..m).map{|i| "m#{i}".to_sym}
miss_ms = (1..m).map{|i| "miss_m#{i}".to_sym}

$hit_perf1 << Benchmark.measure{
  10.times{
    objs.each{|obj|
      n = rand(m)
      obj.send(ms[n]) if m > 0
    }
  }
}.real

$hit_perf2 << Benchmark.measure{
  objs.each{|obj|
    10.times{
      n = rand(m)
      obj.send(ms[n]) if m > 0
    }
  }
}.real

$miss_perf1 << Benchmark.measure{
  10.times{
    objs.each{|obj|
      n = rand(m)
      obj.send(miss_ms[n]) if m > 0
    }
  }
}.real

$miss_perf2 << Benchmark.measure{
  objs.each{|obj|
    10.times{
      n = rand(m)
      obj.send(miss_ms[n]) if m > 0
    }
  }
}.real

$results << classes.reduce(0){|r, klass| r + ObjectSpace.memsize_of(klass)}

puts "insert	#{insert_perf.join("\t")}"puts "hit_perf1	#{hit_perf1.join("\t")}"puts "hit_perf2	#{hit_perf2.join("\t")}"
raw data is https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1NEbb6p663rc6-6xLZyM2cZQgm1kr96FyCQaYGe6KfS0/edit?usp=sharing

Charts are attached in one pdf file.

- st is slow.
- surprisingly, other methods are not so different (at least under or equal 100 methods).
  - I expected that insertion is slow on list, but not so slow.
  - I expected that lookup is fast on small list, but not so different.
- maybe we can make faster implementation (sophisticate).

Any verifications / reproducible benchmarks are welcome.

Discourse benchmark?

#13 - 08/11/2015 08:20 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

Ah, I have important notice.

Now, I'm checking method table with Ruby's method definitions and Ruby's method invocations. They are heavy operations, so that they can hide overhead of table operations (but st was slow :p).

So if we apply this table to other purpose, we can see other effect.

#14 - 08/12/2015 08:44 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- Status changed from Open to Closed
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