

## Ruby trunk - Feature #12543

### explicit tail call syntax: foo() then return

07/02/2016 05:24 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b> matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
How about introducing a new syntax for tail call?	
<pre>def foo()   foo() end foo() #=&gt; stack level too deep  def bar()   bar() then return end bar() #=&gt; infinite loop</pre>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• no new keyword (cf. goto foo())</li><li>• no conflict with any existing syntax</li><li>• an experimental patch is available (attached)</li><li>• no shift/reduce nor reduce/reduce conflict in parse.y</li></ul>	
-- Yusuke Endoh <a href="mailto:mame@ruby-lang.org">mame@ruby-lang.org</a>	
<b>Related issues:</b>	<b>Feedback</b>
Related to Ruby trunk - Feature #6602: Tail call optimization: enable by defa...	

### History

#### #1 - 07/02/2016 05:24 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Subject changed from explicit tal call syntax: foo() then return to explicit tail call syntax: foo() then return

#### #2 - 07/02/2016 05:25 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Related to Feature #6602: Tail call optimization: enable by default? added

#### #3 - 07/19/2016 06:53 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

mame-san:

Do you have use-cases?

#### #4 - 07/19/2016 09:10 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

I am not positive. This may not work under tracing. I am for adding tail-call optimization, but Koichi do not love the idea either.

Matz.

**Files**

---

then\_return.patch

9.18 KB

07/02/2016

mame (Yusuke Endoh)