Gemify fileutils

02/06/2017 09:43 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

Status: Closed
Priority: Normal
Assignee: hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Target version: 2.5

Description
This issue is tracking for gem release of FileUtils module.

Related issues:
Related to Ruby master - Feature #5481: Gemifying Ruby standard library

Associated revisions
Revision 52dbede2 - 02/06/2017 09:51 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Added initial gemspec for FileUtils module.

Revision 57550 - 02/06/2017 09:51 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Added initial gemspec for FileUtils module.

Revision 57550 - 02/06/2017 09:51 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Added initial gemspec for FileUtils module.

Revision 8d1d4e31 - 12/12/2017 10:57 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Bump version to fileutils-1.0.1.
Update source code url metadata on rubygems.org.

Revision 61148 - 12/12/2017 10:57 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Bump version to fileutils-1.0.1.
Update source code url metadata on rubygems.org.

Revision 61148 - 12/12/2017 10:57 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Bump version to fileutils-1.0.1.
Update source code url metadata on rubygems.org.

Revision 61148 - 12/12/2017 10:57 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Bump version to fileutils-1.0.1.
Update source code url metadata on rubygems.org.
History
#1 - 02/06/2017 09:44 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Subject changed from Gemfile fileutils to Gemify fileutils

#2 - 02/06/2017 09:51 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Status changed from Assigned to Closed

Applied in changeset r57550.

Added initial gemspec for FileUtils module.

[Feature #13197]

#3 - 02/07/2017 02:49 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Status changed from Closed to Assigned

#4 - 02/07/2017 06:35 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Note: Pathname class depends FileUtils. If we added breaking changes to FileUtils, Pathname is also broken.

https://github.com/ruby/ruby/blob/trunk/ext/pathname/lib/pathname.rb#L569

So, We need to add dependency FileUtils to Pathname after gem releases of pathname and fileutils.

Example case:
1. pathname-1.0.0 and fileutils-1.0.0 works fine when Ruby 2.5.0 release.
2. fileutils changes some of public API in Ruby 2.6.0. We will fix pathname class.
3. We need to ship fileutils-2.0.0 and pathname-2.0.0.
4. In this case, pathname-1.0.0 is broken with fileutils-2.0.0.

We need to add dependency of 'fileutils', '~> 1.0.0' to pathname-1.0.0 when Ruby 2.4.0 release.

#5 - 02/08/2017 09:56 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Related to Feature #5481: Gemifying Ruby standard library added

#6 - 02/13/2017 02:52 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
fileutils gem is already registered.

- https://rubygems.org/gems/fileutils (It's masked yet)

I ask about this namespace to rubygems.org admin.

#7 - 05/12/2017 06:32 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Status changed from Assigned to Closed

I released fileutils-0.7.1 and yanked old versions.

https://rubygems.org/gems/fileutils

#8 - 05/12/2017 07:32 PM - stomar (Marcus Stollsteimer)
@hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA), I noticed that the rubygems page has wrong metadata ("Source Code" URL), presumably from the previous gem. This might also be the case for other gems with yanked (unrelated) older gem; I did not check.

And another thought: should homepage in the gemspec be set to ruby-lang.org, or rather to the source code repository (which would be more useful regarding information on issues, releases, ...)?

#9 - 05/12/2017 10:36 PM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
- Status changed from Closed to Assigned

@stomar (Marcus Stollsteimer)
Thanks. source-code still point old metadata. And homepage is the wrong parameter.
I will update it before Ruby 2.5.0preview1.

which would be more useful regarding information on issues, releases, ...

bug.ruby-lang.org and github.com/ruby/fileutils are ok.

#10 - 05/13/2017 05:11 AM - vo.x (Vit Ondruch)
Maybe somebody should propose "Gem::Specification#source_code" field to RubyGems guys. I don't understand why the "Source Code" field must be set manually on rubygems.org while it would not harm to have it in gem metadata ...

#11 - 05/13/2017 07:36 AM - stomar (Marcus Stollsteimer)
hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA) wrote:

bug.ruby-lang.org and github.com/ruby/fileutils are ok.

This is currently completely unclear to me: what is supposed to be the canonical, "upstream" repository for all those gems, i.e. where should bug tracking and development happen: in Ruby trunk / Redmine, or on GitHub? Is code synced both ways?

(E.g. I recently fixed a GH/ruby/ruby issue for date_core.c in svn; should I have fixed in ruby/date? When, how, by whom are the repos synced?)

I couldn't find helpful information about that in the Wiki or in the respective tickets (e.g. #5481).

#12 - 05/13/2017 10:31 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

what is supposed to be the canonical, "upstream" repository for all those gems

Short answer: upstream is svn.ruby-lang.org.

But some default gems use github for the canonical repository.
Gemification status is still work in progress until Ruby 2.5.0 release.
I'm going to file your concerns to document under the doc directory on ruby source.

#13 - 12/12/2017 10:58 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

- Status changed from Assigned to Closed

Applied in changeset trunk|r61148.

Bump version to fileutils-1.0.1.

Update source code url metadata on rubygems.org.
[Feature #13197|ruby-core:79455]