

Ruby master - Feature #13583

Adding `Hash#transform_keys` method

05/19/2017 06:11 PM - graywolf (Gray Wolf)

Status:	Closed
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
Target version:	
Description	
<p>In 2.4, new useful method <code>Hash#transform_values</code> was added. I would like to propose also adding matching method <code>Hash#transform_keys</code>.</p> <pre>{a: 1, b: 2}.transform_keys { k k.to_s } => {"a"=>1, "b"=>2}</pre>	
<p>What needs to be considered is what to do in case of two keys mapping to the same new key</p> <pre>{ a: 1, b: 2 }.transform_keys { _ :same_key } # what should happen?</pre>	
<p>I think using <code>Hash[]</code> as model behaviour is a good idea.</p> <pre>Hash[{ a: 1, b: 2 }.map { key, value [:s, value] }] => {:s=>2}</pre>	
<p>it's also how <code>Hash#transform_keys</code> works in rails (afaict).</p>	
<p>This is a follow up feature request to #9970, which seems to be stalled. If the behaviour can be agreed upon, I can try putting together a patch (if no one else wants to step up).</p>	

Associated revisions

Revision 14051117 - 07/14/2017 06:44 AM - mrkn (Kenta Murata)

hash.c: Add `Hash#transform_keys` and `Hash#transform_keys!`

- hash.c (transform_keys_i, rb_hash_transform_keys): Add `Hash#transform_keys`. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- hash.c (rb_hash_transform_keys_bang): Add `Hash#transform_keys!`. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- test/ruby/test_hash.rb: Add tests for above changes.

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@59328 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e

Revision 59328 - 07/14/2017 06:44 AM - mrkn (Kenta Murata)

hash.c: Add `Hash#transform_keys` and `Hash#transform_keys!`

- hash.c (transform_keys_i, rb_hash_transform_keys): Add `Hash#transform_keys`. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- hash.c (rb_hash_transform_keys_bang): Add `Hash#transform_keys!`. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- test/ruby/test_hash.rb: Add tests for above changes.

Revision 59328 - 07/14/2017 06:44 AM - mrkn (Kenta Murata)

hash.c: Add `Hash#transform_keys` and `Hash#transform_keys!`

- hash.c (transform_keys_i, rb_hash_transform_keys): Add `Hash#transform_keys`. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]

- hash.c (rb_hash_transform_keys_bang): Add Hash#transform_keys!. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- test/ruby/test_hash.rb: Add tests for above changes.

Revision 59328 - 07/14/2017 06:44 AM - mrkn (Kenta Murata)

hash.c: Add Hash#transform_keys and Hash#transform_keys!

- hash.c (transform_keys_i, rb_hash_transform_keys): Add Hash#transform_keys. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- hash.c (rb_hash_transform_keys_bang): Add Hash#transform_keys!. [Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290]
- test/ruby/test_hash.rb: Add tests for above changes.

Revision ae1c9f13 - 07/19/2017 01:59 PM - kazu

NEWS: Add Hash#transform_keys and Hash#transform_keys!

[Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290] [ci skip]

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@59369 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e

Revision 59369 - 07/19/2017 01:59 PM - znz (Kazuhiro NISHIYAMA)

NEWS: Add Hash#transform_keys and Hash#transform_keys!

[Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290] [ci skip]

Revision 59369 - 07/19/2017 01:59 PM - kazu

NEWS: Add Hash#transform_keys and Hash#transform_keys!

[Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290] [ci skip]

Revision 59369 - 07/19/2017 01:59 PM - kazu

NEWS: Add Hash#transform_keys and Hash#transform_keys!

[Feature #13583] [ruby-core:81290] [ci skip]

History

#1 - 05/19/2017 06:52 PM - graywolf (Gray Wolf)

- Description updated

#2 - 05/19/2017 06:53 PM - graywolf (Gray Wolf)

- Description updated

#3 - 05/20/2017 01:49 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

Thank you for issuing this. I see there is an obvious needs for this transformation (stringify_keys) so I'm :+1: to the feature.

Let's have a concrete definition of this requested method:

- Its name is Hash#transform_keys.
- It returns a newly created Hash instance.
- It has zero arity.
- It yields,
 - with only one block parameter (which is a key of the original hash),
 - the evaluated value is the new key for the entry.
- When the new key conflicts, later entry silently discards former entry (see the description of this issue).

Is it okay? Am I missing something? Do people have any opinion?

#4 - 05/20/2017 08:56 AM - graywolf (Gray Wolf)

I don't think you missed anything, except I would just point out to also add Hash#transform_keys!. I don't know if it's worth mentioning or just kinda

automatically assumed.

#5 - 05/20/2017 08:01 PM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)

I think the names are good, both `#transform_keys` and `#transform_values`.

Seem quite clear to me from the names.

On the linked older issue (-3 years), the names were different, `Hash#map_keys` and `Hash#map_values`. Matz said that the names may be confusing. Perhaps `#transform_keys` and `#transform_values` are better names. (I have not checked if the proposal is the very same; shyouhei provided a very specific definition here, including behaviour such as arity and yield-situations, which I think the other proposal did not have). Guess matz will have a look.

graywolf, could you perhaps show some example documentation for the two methods?

#6 - 07/14/2017 05:33 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

Looks good to me.

Matz.

#7 - 07/14/2017 06:44 AM - mrkn (Kenta Murata)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Applied in changeset [trunk|r59328](#).

hash.c: Add `Hash#transform_keys` and `Hash#transform_keys!`

- hash.c (transform_keys_i, rb_hash_transform_keys): Add `Hash#transform_keys`. [Feature [#13583](#)] [ruby-core:81290]
- hash.c (rb_hash_transform_keys_bang): Add `Hash#transform_keys!`. [Feature [#13583](#)] [ruby-core:81290]
- test/ruby/test_hash.rb: Add tests for above changes.

#8 - 12/11/2017 09:28 PM - marcandre (Marc-Andre Lafortune)

- Assignee set to matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

- Status changed from Closed to Open

I'm not sure I like the current behavior of `transform_keys!`.

Two possibilities: `transform_keys!` is `each_key { delete(old_key), set(new_key) }` (as is currently) or `replace(transform_keys)` (I think I prefer this).

Matz, could you confirm what behavior you want?

Current:

```
h = {1 => :hello, 2 => 'world'}
h.transform_keys!(&:succ) # => {2 => :hello, 3 => 'world'}
h.transform_keys!(&:succ) # => {3 => :hello}
```

With using `replace`, we'd get the same results.

The current behavior allows partial updates though:

```
h = {1 => :hello, 2 => :world}
h.transform_keys! { |k| k == 1 ? :one : break }
h # => {2 => world, :one => :hello}
```

With the `replace` version, `h` would be unchanged (or else we'd have to write an `ensure` to do the partial update)

#9 - 12/11/2017 10:07 PM - marcandre (Marc-Andre Lafortune)

- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

Nevermind, I just remembered that ActiveSupport also defines `transform_keys!`, so best match its behavior.