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Description
Proc has `curry` but no method to do partial application. Something like Proc#bind might be handy.

A naive implementation might look something like

class Proc
  def bind(*bound_args)
    -> (*args) { self.call(*bound_args, *args) }
  end
end

```
irb(main):001:0> foo = -> (first, second) { puts first, second }
=> #<Proc:0x007fc93a091f90@(irb):6 (lambda)>
irb(main):002:0> foo.bind(1).call(2)
1
2
=> nil
irb(main):003:0> foo.bind(1).bind(2).call
1
2
```

which does the job with the downside of only reporting argument mismatches when the returned Proc is called.

```
irb(main):004:0> foo3 = foo.bind(1).bind(2).bind(3)
=> #<Proc:0x007fc9378bcb00@(irb):3 (lambda)>
irb(main):005:0> foo.call
ArgumentError: wrong number of arguments (given 0, expected 2)
  from (irb):6:in `block in irb_binding'
  from (irb):35
  from /usr/local/bin/irb:11:in `<main>'
```

Related issues:
Related to Ruby master - Feature #6817: Partial application Open
Related to Ruby master - Feature #7939: Alternative curry function creation Feedback

History

#1 - 07/25/2017 10:50 AM - k0kubun (Takashi Kokubun)
Could you show a real Ruby application or code which you can write more effectively if we have partial application?

#2 - 07/25/2017 02:51 PM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)
I do not have any pro or con opinion per se; my slight worry is about the name "bind".

  When I read .bind, I wonder what is actually bound, and to what it is bound.

#3 - 07/25/2017 07:36 PM - davidcornu (David Cornu)

  I do not have any pro or con opinion per se; my slight worry is about the name "bind".

Yeah I share that concern. Ruby has a concept of bound methods which might get confused with this.

Lodash/Underscore refer to this as partial ([https://lodash.com/docs/#partial](https://lodash.com/docs/#partial)) which could be a better name.
Could you show a real Ruby application or code which you can write more effectively if we have partial application?

The use case is similar to that of Proc#curry, but I'd agree that typical Ruby code doesn't rely on Procs much. The lack of partial application on Proc just seemed like an odd omission.

The particular code I was writing that led to this implemented pagination by returning the current page of results and a proc to fetch the next page.

Example:

```ruby
bind = -> (fn, *bound_args) { 
  -> (*args) { fn.(*bound_args, *args) }
}

fetch_page = -> (page = 1) { 
  # Perform request
  [results, bind.{fetch_page, page + 1}]
}

which lets you use it as follows

results, next_page = fetch_page.()
until results.empty?
  # Process results
  results, next_page = next_page.()
end
```

#5 - 07/26/2017 03:36 PM - k0kubun (Takashi Kokubun)
- Related to Feature #6817: Partial application added

#6 - 07/26/2017 03:36 PM - k0kubun (Takashi Kokubun)
- Related to Feature #7939: Alternative curry function creation added