

## Ruby master - Bug #14935

### Inconsistent behaviour with puts and enumerator with different block format.

07/24/2018 12:29 PM - puneet.sutar@gmail.com (Puneet Sutar)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Status:</b> Rejected   |   |
| <b>Priority:</b> Normal   |   |
| <b>Assignee:</b>  |   |
| <b>Target version:</b>  |   |
| <b>ruby -v:</b> ruby 2.3.1p112 (2016-04-26 revision 54768) [x86_64-darwin16]  | <b>Backport:</b> 2.3: UNKNOWN, 2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: UNKNOWN |
| <b>Description</b>  |   |
| <b>Case: 1</b>  |   |
| <pre>[] ~ ruby puts [1,2,3].map do  i    puts i end #&lt;Enumerator:0x007ffe930b76d0&gt; [] ~ # block does get evaluated.</pre> |   |
| <b>Case 2:</b>  |   |
| <pre>[] ~ ruby puts [1, 2, 3].map {  i  puts i } 1 2 3  [] ~ # Block gets evaluated.</pre>                                      |   |
| My question is Shouldn't both cases give consistent output.   |   |

## History

### #1 - 07/24/2018 03:54 PM - shan (Shannon Skipper)

#puts returns nil, so when you're mapping you end up with [nil, nil, nil], which prints with #puts as three blank lines. If you use p instead of puts inside the block, the block will return i instead of nil and you'll see the same output.

### #2 - 07/24/2018 05:13 PM - lucasbuchala (Lucas Buchala)

I think this is because do ... end blocks has lower precedence than {...} blocks. As documented in [1]. So, probably, not a bug.

```
method1 method2 { ... } # method2's block
method1 method2 do ... end # method1's block
```

[1] [http://ruby-doc.org/core-2.5.1/doc/syntax/calling\\_methods\\_rdoc.html#label-Block+Argument](http://ruby-doc.org/core-2.5.1/doc/syntax/calling_methods_rdoc.html#label-Block+Argument)

### #3 - 07/25/2018 12:33 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Status changed from Open to Rejected