Ruby master - Bug #15604
Backport r66349 to 2.5
02/13/2019 07:34 PM - rafaelfranca (Rafael França)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ruby -v:</td>
<td>2.5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backport</td>
<td>2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: REQUIRED, 2.6: DONTNEED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description
Revision r66349 fixes a bug that was causing byebug to not reliable work if your code was using Forwardable.

See also [https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/15303](https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/15303)

Related issues:
Is duplicate of Ruby master - Bug #15303: Return tracepoint doesn't fire when...
Closed

History
#1 - 02/15/2019 03:31 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)
- Status changed from Open to Closed

(Changing status to closed, which would trigger the backporting process. Don't take it as a rejection.)

#2 - 02/15/2019 04:11 AM - rafaelfranca (Rafael França)
Thank you! Do you need to change the backport field to point it needs to be backported to 2.5 but not to 2.6?

#3 - 02/20/2019 02:59 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)
rafaelfranca (Rafael França) wrote:

Thank you! Do you need to change the backport field to point it needs to be backported to 2.5 but not to 2.6?

Thank you. But leaving that field UNKNOWN is just OK.

#4 - 04/23/2019 07:14 AM - nagachika (Tomoyuki Chikanaga)
- Is duplicate of Bug #15303: Return tracepoint doesn't fire when tailcall optimization is applied added

#5 - 04/23/2019 07:16 AM - nagachika (Tomoyuki Chikanaga)
- Backport changed from 2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: UNKNOWN, 2.6: UNKNOWN to 2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: REQUIRED, 2.6: DONTNEED