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**Description**

**Problem**

There is an inconsistency between calling a private attribute writer being allowed with `self.value =` syntax and `self.value` not being allowed on a private attribute writer.

Calling a private method in this way can be useful when trying to assign the return value of this private method to a local variable with the same name.

**Solution**

The attached patch handles this by compiling the calling into a function call by using the `VM_CALL_FCALL` flag, so it is as if the call were made without the self, prefix, except it won't be confused with local variables at the VM instruction level. It is also compiled like an assignment call, except I didn't use the `COMPILE_RECV` macro, since that would remove the CHECK macro usage around the COMPILE line.

**Related issues:**

Related to Ruby master - Bug #16947: private method unexpected behavior Closed  
Is duplicate of Ruby master - Feature #11297: Allow private method of self to... Closed

**Associated revisions**

Revision 7fbd2f7c - 09/19/2019 05:20 PM - dylants (Dylan Thacker-Smith)  
Allow calling a private method with self.  
This makes it consistent with calling private attribute assignment methods, which currently is allowed (e.g. `self.value =`).  
Calling a private method in this way can be useful when trying to assign the return value to a local variable with the same name.  
[Feature #11297] [Feature #16123]

Revision d583df52 - 09/19/2019 05:21 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)  
Added version guard  
[Feature #11297] [Feature #16123]

Revision e6378cdc - 09/19/2019 05:21 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)  
Allow calling a private accessor with self.  
[Feature #11297] [Feature #16123]

Revision b80df6e8 - 09/19/2019 05:40 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)  
Update NEWS and documents [ci skip]  
[Feature #11297] [Feature #16123]

Revision 26831719 - 09/20/2019 07:43 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)  
[DOC] DOT is not a part of a receiver [ci skip]  
[Feature #11297] [Feature #16123]
History

#1 - 08/23/2019 11:06 PM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)
I may not completely understand the issue description. What is the inconsistency? (That is a honest question, by the way; I am not fully understanding the issue domain.)

I am not even entirely sure what a private attribute writer is either; can we use these terms when we can use e.g. send() at all times? I may not understand this, but I assume you can get the value of any method via .send() and assign it to the local variable?

#2 - 08/24/2019 12:30 AM - dylants (Dylan Thacker-Smith)
Here is a script to help demonstrate the inconsistency, where self.bar = 123 is allowed by self.bar is not.

```ruby
class Foo
  def foo
    self.bar = 123 # allowed
    self.bar # raises
  end
  private
  attr_accessor :bar
end
Foo.new.foo
```

By attribute writer, I was just referring to an assignment method like the one defined by attr_writer, although the same applies to any assignment method like def bar=(value); value; end. The inconsistency is just more obvious when dealing with the pair of methods defined by attr_accessor if they are private because self. works with one of them but not the other as shown above.

shevegen (Robert A. Heiler) wrote:

I may not understand this, but I assume you can get the value of any method via .send() and assign it to the local variable?

Yes, it can be easily worked around, it just doesn't seem like it should be necessary to workaround this limitation. The point of private is to keep things from being accessible from other objects, which we know isn't the case when a call is made on self. directly.

#3 - 09/18/2019 08:01 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)
Why do you use private attr_accessor? You can access its instance variable directly.

Allowing self.private_method has an unpreferable side effect; it allows self.global_function, i.e., self.require "foo", self.lambda { }, self.fork, etc.

#4 - 09/19/2019 08:07 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
- Related to Feature #11297: Allow private method of self to be called added

#5 - 09/19/2019 08:17 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
Accepted. But as I said in #11297, the document should be updated. After the patch, you can say self.puts("hello"), which can confuse you.

Matz.

#6 - 09/19/2019 02:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)
- Related to deleted (Feature #11297: Allow private method of self to be called)

#7 - 09/19/2019 02:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)
- Is duplicate of Feature #11297: Allow private method of self to be called added

#8 - 09/19/2019 06:25 PM - dylants (Dylan Thacker-Smith)
- Status changed from Open to Closed

Applied in changeset g8i7fbd2f7cc247ee66e877ab3c88f0274834c6b6e7.

Allow calling a private method with self.

This makes it consistent with calling private attribute assignment methods, which currently is allowed (e.g. self.value =).
Calling a private method in this way can be useful when trying to assign the return value to a local variable with the same name.

[Feature #11297] [Feature #16123]

#9 - 06/10/2020 12:34 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)
- Related to Bug #16947: private method unexpected behavior added
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