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**Description**

### Abstract

monitor.rb introduces MonitorMixin module and Monitor class. Now, rubygems.rb requires monitor.rb so that most of Ruby applications (*1) requires monitor.rb

[*1] running MRI with --disable-gems can eliminate monitor.rb, but only a few people use this option.

Also recent changes (6ec1720aa38772ba4b7515790443cb578340518b and so on) to make it atomic introduces several overhead. Making them in C will avoid such overhead.

Naruse-san made monitor-ext gem to make it faster by making C-extension. This proposal is to make it built-in class.

### Approach

Implement Monitor class as re-entrant mutex object in C and implement MonitorMixin with it.

MonitorMixin and MonitorMixin::ConditionalVariable are remained in lib/monitor.rb, because it is easy to write :p

### Implementation

https://github.com/ruby/ruby/compare/master...ko1:thread_monitor

### Evaluation

```ruby
require_relative 'benchmark/lib/load'
Benchmark.driver(repeat_count: 1){|x|
  x.executable name: '2.5.2', command: %w'/home/ko1/ruby/install/ruby_2_5/bin/ruby'
  x.executable name: '2.6.6', command: %w'/home/ko1/ruby/install/ruby_2_6/bin/ruby'
  x.executable name: 'trunk', command: %w'/home/ko1/ruby/install/trunk/bin/ruby'

  x.prelude %{  
    mon = Monitor.new
  }

  x.report %{  
    mon.synchronize{  
      mon.synchronize{  
      }  
    }  
  }
}

#=>  
# trunk: 4345545.8 i/s
# 2.5.2: 1657762.1 i/s - 2.62x slower
# 2.6.6: 499165.7 i/s - 8.71x slower
```

### Discussion

04/09/2020
Queue, Mutex are the alias of Thread::Queue, Thread::Mutex.

```
p  Queue #=> Thread::Queue
```

I defined Thread::Monitor and make alias by Monitor = Thread::Monitor. Is it okay?

I removed mon_ prefix methods from Monitor class. I believe they are only for MonitorMixin.

... but I found two examples which call mon_ prefix methods for Monitor class:

```
/home/gem-codesearch/gem-codesearch/latest-gem/gel-0.3.0/lib/gel/db.rb:   @monitor.mon_owned?
/home/gem-codesearch/gem-codesearch/latest-gem/keepyourhead-0.2.2/lib/Keepyourhead/Cache.rb:
  @monitor.mon_synchronize {
```

Should we obsolete them for Monitor class?

**Associated revisions**

**Revision caac5f77 - 10/19/2019 07:52 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)**

- `make monitor.so for performance. (#2576)`

- Recent monitor.rb has performance problem because of interrupt handlers. 'Monitor#synchronize' is frequently used primitive so the performance of this method is important.

- This patch rewrite 'monitor.rb' with 'monitor.so' (C-extension) and make it faster. See [Feature #16255](https://github.com/rails/rails/blob/461ae05f194f775a6367bf9aadd4dda37746bd5c/activesupport/lib/active_support/concurrency/load_interlock_aware_monitor.rb#L7-L15) for details.

- Monitor class objects are normal object which include MonitorMixin. This patch introduce a Monitor class which is implemented on C and MonitorMixin uses Monitor object as re-entrant (recursive) Mutex. This technique improve performance because we don't need to care atomicity and we don't need accesses to instance variables any more on Monitor class.

**History**

**#1 - 10/17/2019 06:53 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)**

- Matz wants to make it as an extension library, so I moved it as extension library.

**#2 - 10/19/2019 07:52 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)**

- Status changed from Open to Closed

- Applied in changeset [gitcaac5f77ae288b5982708b8690e712e1ca0cf6](https://github.com/rails/rails/blob/461ae05f194f775a6367bf9aadd4dda37746bd5c/activesupport/lib/active_support/concurrency/load_interlock_aware_monitor.rb#L7-L15).

- `make monitor.so for performance. (#2576)`

- Recent monitor.rb has performance problem because of interrupt handlers. 'Monitor#synchronize' is frequently used primitive so the performance of this method is important.

- This patch rewrite 'monitor.rb' with 'monitor.so' (C-extension) and make it faster. See [Feature #16255](https://github.com/rails/rails/blob/461ae05f194f775a6367bf9aadd4dda37746bd5c/activesupport/lib/active_support/concurrency/load_interlock_aware_monitor.rb#L7-L15) for details.

- Monitor class objects are normal object which include MonitorMixin. This patch introduce a Monitor class which is implemented on C and MonitorMixin uses Monitor object as re-entrant (recursive) Mutex. This technique improve performance because we don't need to care atomicity and we don't need accesses to instance variables any more on Monitor class.

**#3 - 12/01/2019 05:20 AM - y-yagi (Yuji Yaginuma)**

- This change breaks the library that extends Monitor class. For example, Rails has such class and it breaks in Ruby 2.7. [https://github.com/rails/rails/blob/461ae05f194f775a6367bf9aadd4dda37746bd5c/activesupport/lib/active_support/concurrency/load_interlock_aware_monitor.rb#L7-L15](https://github.com/rails/rails/blob/461ae05f194f775a6367bf9aadd4dda37746bd5c/activesupport/lib/active_support/concurrency/load_interlock_aware_monitor.rb#L7-L15)

- Isn't it guaranteed to make such extending to Monitor?

(I'm not sure if Monitor class is a public API or not)
#4 - 12/01/2019 08:35 AM - qnighy (Masaki Hara)
The latest google-cloud-pubsub breaks too because new_cond comes before initialization:
https://github.com/googleapis/google-cloud-ruby/blob/google-cloud-pubsub/v1.1.2/google-cloud-pubsub/lib/google/cloud/pubsub/async_publisher.rb#L92-L95

#5 - 12/01/2019 10:53 AM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)
Could you share the error and backtrace in both of these cases?

#6 - 12/01/2019 11:13 PM - y-yagi (Yuji Yaginuma)

The latest google-cloud-pubsub breaks too because new_cond comes before initialization:

Rails encountered the same issue (related to https://github.com/rails/rails/pull/37822). The error and backtrace of in this case are as follows.
https://buildkite.com/rails/rails/builds/65193#02e136eb-eced-4367-9ee0-77e0a82f8531/990-1200

In the first case, the method did not call as expected, so it does not show error and backtrace that related to the issue.

#7 - 12/04/2019 04:42 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
I fixed new_cond before mon_initialize problem c6e3db0c66312af1e932c21006437419efa9ac75

https://github.com/rails/rails/blob/461aae05f1946775e6367be9ad4d4dd37746bf5c/activesupport/lib/active_support/concurrency/load_interlock_a
ware_monitor.rb#L7-L15

This code depends "how to implement #synchronize" so that I'm not sure MRI should support it for compatibility (I don't think so, if most of people
doesn't use this technique).

#8 - 12/04/2019 11:32 PM - y-yagi (Yuji Yaginuma)
Thanks for your response! I understood the support policy.