### Ruby master - Bug #2355

Please update rubygems!

11/11/2009 07:48 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee</td>
<td>drbrain (Eric Hodel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version</td>
<td>2.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ruby -v</td>
<td>ruby 1.9.2dev (2009-11-11 trunk 25717) [i686-linux]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Backport:**

#### Description

```
=begin
On the trunk:

$ gem19 install gemcutter
ERROR: Error installing gemcutter:
gemcutter requires RubyGems version >= 1.3.5

Some standard libraries including rubygems are developed in maintainer's
own repository and some of changes are sometimes committed together to
ruby-core repository.

Matz complained about such a development style in [ruby-dev:39373]:

| I have hated the development process in that some maintainers develop
| their libraries in their own repository and commit together into ruby-
| core repository. For example, I hear that _why has some uncommitted
| updates of Syck in his own repository. And, REXML.
| It's OK for maintainers to have their own repository because of the
| age of distributed VCS. But it should be synchronized with ruby-core
| repository bi-directionally. Some problems actually occur.

I completely agree with matz. The HEAD of all standard libraries should
be put in core repository.

This ticket is registered as [BUG] because this is a bug of the development
process.

--
Yusuke ENDOH mame@tsg.ne.jp
=end
```

**Related issues:**

- Related to Ruby master - Bug #2066: Merge Latest RDoc/Ri

**History**


- Status changed from Open to Assigned
- Assignee set to drbrain (Eric Hodel)

```
=begin
We suffered from both not updated gems and many test-all fails after huge merge.
(and degrades by reverting Ruby 1.9 local changes)

So I agree with this.
=end
```

**#2 - 12/03/2009 12:59 AM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)**

- Priority changed from Normal to 6

09/18/2021
#3 - 12/03/2009 02:06 PM - drbrain (Eric Hodel)
=begin
I can update RubyGems tomorrow, Friday at latest.

If I were to move RubyGems main development into ruby's repository I would need to make tags for RubyGems releases somewhere in ruby's repository. Would this be ok?

If moving RubyGems main development into ruby's repository is desired, should another ticket be opened? There may be other issues to consider since RubyGems still needs to make regular releases.
=end

#4 - 03/26/2010 07:07 AM - drbrain (Eric Hodel)
- Status changed from Assigned to Closed

=begin
Nobu updated RubyGems to 1.3.6 for me.
=end