

Backport191 - Backport #3597

Memory leak in 1.9.1

07/22/2010 06:12 AM - rkh (Konstantin Haase)

Status:	Assigned
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	yugui (Yuki Sonoda)
Description	
=begin The following code produces a memory leak, but only on 1.9.1 (p429), but neither on 1.9.2 (rc2) nor on 1.8.7 (p299): <pre>ruby -e 'loop { Object.new.extend Module.new; GC.start }'</pre> Konstantin =end	

History

#1 - 07/22/2010 09:34 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Category set to core
- Status changed from Open to Assigned
- Assignee set to yugui (Yuki Sonoda)

=begin
=end

#2 - 07/23/2010 04:06 PM - tenderlovmaking (Aaron Patterson)

=begin
On Thu, Jul 22, 2010 at 06:12:32AM +0900, Konstantin Haase wrote:

Bug [#3597](#): Memory leak in 1.9.1
<http://redmine.ruby-lang.org/issues/show/3597>

Author: Konstantin Haase
Status: Open, Priority: Normal
ruby -v: ruby 1.9.1p429 (2010-07-02 revision 28523) [i386-darwin10.4.0]

The following code produces a memory leak, but only on 1.9.1 (p429), but neither on 1.9.2 (rc2) nor on 1.8.7 (p299):

```
ruby -e 'loop { Object.new.extend Module.new; GC.start }'
```

If this doesn't produce a memory leak on 1.9.2 rc2 and 1.8.7 p299, is this really a bug?

--
Aaron Patterson
<http://tenderlovmaking.com/>

Attachment: (unnamed)
=end

#3 - 07/23/2010 04:16 PM - rkh (Konstantin Haase)

=begin

On Jul 23, 2010, at 09:06 , Aaron Patterson wrote:

If this doesn't produce a memory leak on 1.9.2 rc2 and 1.8.7 p299, is this really a bug?

If 1.9.1 is still supported and there is going to be another patch level, then I sure think so.

Konstantin

=end