

Backport192 - Backport #4228

Backward gemspec compatibility change in r29663 broke rake gems

12/31/2010 07:02 AM - luislavena (Luis Lavena)

Status:	Closed
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	yugui (Yuki Sonoda)
Description	
<pre>=begin Hello, In r29200, NARUSE committed my patch that corrected gemspec issues when attempt to install rake or any of the bundled gems (see [ruby-core:32165]) Problem is, in r29663, when backported for release, the old non-version gemspec are kept along the versioned ones, defeating the purpose of the previous fix. Now, after installing a gem that was bundled, it breaks rake, again: C:\Users\Luis>gem list *** LOCAL GEMS *** minitest (1.6.0) rake (0.8.7) rdoc (2.5.8) C:\Users\Luis>rake -T rake aborted! No Rakefile found (looking for: rakefile, Rakefile, rakefile.rb, Rakefile.rb) C:/Ruby192/lib/ruby/1.9.1/rake.rb:2367:in raw_load_rakefile' C:/Ruby192/lib/ruby/1.9.1/rake.rb:2007:inblock in load_rakefile' C:/Ruby192/lib/ruby/1.9.1/rake.rb:2058:in standard_exception_handling' C:/Ruby192/lib/ruby/1.9.1/rake.rb:2006:inload_rakefile' C:/Ruby192/lib/ruby/1.9.1/rake.rb:1991:in run' C:/Ruby192/bin/rake:31:in' C:\Users\Luis>gem install rake Successfully installed rake-0.8.7 1 gem installed C:\Users\Luis>rake -T C:/Ruby192/lib/ruby/1.9.1/rubygems.rb:340:in bin_path': can't find executable rake for rake-0.8.7 (Gem::Exception) from C:/Ruby192/bin/rake:19:in' === Please apply the following patch: diff --git a/tool/rbinstall.rb b/tool/rbinstall.rb index ffac2bf..f690911 100755 --- a/tool/rbinstall.rb +++ b/tool/rbinstall.rb @@ -521,14 +521,6 @@ Gem::Specification.new do s end GEMSPEC open_for_install(File.join(destdir, "#{name}-#{version}.gemspec"), \$data_mode) { gemspec } • open_for_install(File.join(destdir, "#{name}.gemspec"), \$data_mode) { • <<-WARNING + gemspec -# #{name}.gemspec remains just for compatibility with installation -# before Ruby 1.9.2-p32. -# This file will no longer exist in Ruby 1.9.3. -# Refer #{name}-#{version}.gemspec instead. • WARNING • } end end</pre>	

To correct that issue.

Thank you.
=end

History

#1 - 12/31/2010 05:17 PM - zenspider (Ryan Davis)

=begin
Didn't we get you commit bit?
=end

#2 - 12/31/2010 05:19 PM - zenspider (Ryan Davis)

=begin
On Dec 31, 2010, at 00:17 , Ryan Davis wrote:

Issue [#4228](#) has been updated by Ryan Davis.

Didn't we get you commit bit?

I spoke out of turn... As I hit "comment" I realized he was only talking about the backport of the patch itself.

=end

#3 - 01/16/2011 10:22 PM - yugui (Yuki Sonoda)

=begin
On Fri, Dec 31, 2010 at 7:11 AM, Luis Lavena redmine@ruby-lang.org wrote:

Problem is, in [r29663](#), when backported for release, the old non-version gempsec are kept along the versioned ones, defeating the purpose of the previous fix.

Now, after installing a gem that was bundled, it breaks rake, again:

Yeah, I finally realized what was wrong in my commit. That's because rubygems generates a new "rake" file in its bin_path, isn't that?
I committed your patch at [r30579](#).

Thank you for the reporting. and sorry for replying so late.

--
Yuki Sonoda (Yugui)
yugui@yugui.jp
<http://yugui.jp>

=end

#4 - 01/16/2011 10:27 PM - yugui (Yuki Sonoda)

- Status changed from Open to Closed
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

=begin
This issue was solved with changeset [r30579](#).
Luis, thank you for reporting this issue.
Your contribution to Ruby is greatly appreciated.
May Ruby be with you.

-
- `too/rbinstall.rb (install?([:ext, :comm, :gem]))`: no longer installs no-versioned gempsecs. [ruby-core:34011] Patch by Luis Lavena. =end

#5 - 01/16/2011 10:43 PM - luislavena (Luis Lavena)

=begin
On Sun, Jan 16, 2011 at 10:22 AM, Yugui yugui@yugui.jp wrote:

Yeah, I finally realized what was wrong in my commit. That's because rubygems generates a new "rake" file in its bin_path, isn't that?

Yes, something once we are in sync with RubyGems will deal with
(double-installation issue)

I committed your patch at [r30579](#).

Thank you!

--

Luis Lavena
AREA 17

-

Perfection in design is achieved not when there is nothing more to add,
but rather when there is nothing more to take away.
Antoine de Saint-Exupéry

=end