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Description
遠藤です。core に投げてしまったようなので登録し直し。
Ruby は左から右に評価が進むと信じていたのですが、多重代入で裏切られました。

def foo
  p :foo
  []
end
def bar
  p :bar
end

x, foo[0] = bar, 0

bar の foo より foo の [ ] の左にあるので、:foo の順に出力されることを期待するのですが、なんと :bar の順に出力されました。

具体的に何が困るかというと、例えば

obj, obj.foo = obj.foo, obj

swap の splay tree を実装していたときでした。こんなの:

t.left, t.left.right, t = t.left.right, t, t.left

1.9 系列で修正すべきとまでは思いませんが、2.0 で直る可能性はあるでしょうか。

IRC で話したら「それで普通」みたいな反応もありましたが、

foo[0] = bar

foo の :foo の左にあるので、:bar の順に出力しました。

...

Yusuke Endoh mame@tsg.ne.jp

Related issues:
Related to Ruby master - Bug #15928: Constant declaration does not conform to... Closed
Is duplicate of Ruby master - Bug #4440: odd evaluation order in a multiple a... Closed 02/24/2011

Associated revisions
Revision 50c54d40 - 04/21/2021 05:49 PM - jeremyevans (Jeremy Evans)
Evaluate multiple assignment left hand side before right hand side

In regular assignment, Ruby evaluates the left hand side before the right hand side. For example:

foo[0] = bar

Calls foo, then bar, then []= on the result of foo.

Previously, multiple assignment didn't work this way. If you did:
Ruby would previously call bar, then baz, then abc, then def= on the result of abc, then foo, then []= on the result of foo.

This change makes multiple assignment similar to single assignment, changing the evaluation order of the above multiple assignment code to calling abc, then foo, then bar, then baz, then def= on the result of abc, then []= on the result of foo.

Implementing this is challenging with the stack-based virtual machine. We need to keep track of all of the left hand side attribute setter receivers and setter arguments, and then keep track of the stack level while handling the assignment processing, so we can issue the appropriate topn instructions to get the receiver. Here's an example of how the multiple assignment is executed, showing the stack and instructions:

```
self             # putself
abc              # send
abc, self        # putself
abc, foo         # send
abc, foo, 0      # putobject 0
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz] # evaluate RHS
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, bar # expandarray
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, bar, abc # topn 5
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, abc, bar # swap
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, def= # send
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz # pop
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, foo # topn 3
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, foo, 0 # topn 3
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, foo, 0, baz # topn 2
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, [],= # send
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz # pop
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz] # pop
[bar, baz], foo, 0, [bar, baz] # setn 3
[bar, baz], foo # pop
[bar, baz] # pop
```

As multiple assignment must deal with splats, post args, and any level of nesting, it gets quite a bit more complex than this in non-trivial cases. To handle this, struct masgn_state is added to keep track of the overall state of the mass assignment, which stores a linked list of struct masgn_atrasgn, one for each assigned attribute.

This adds a new optimization that replaces a topn 1/pop instruction combination with a single swap instruction for multiple assignment to non-aref attributes.

This new approach isn't compatible with one of the optimizations previously used, in the case where the multiple assignment return value was not needed, there was no lhs splat, and one of the left hand side used an attribute setter. This removes that optimization. Removing the optimization allowed for removing the POP_ELEMENT and adjust_stack functions.

This adds a benchmark to measure how much slower multiple assignment is with the correct evaluation order.

This benchmark shows:

- 4-9% decrease for attribute sets
- 14-23% decrease for array member sets
- Basically same speed for local variable sets

Importantly, it shows no significant difference between the popped (where return value of the multiple assignment is not needed) and lopped (where return value of the multiple assignment is needed) cases for attribute and array member sets. This indicates the previous optimization, which was dropped in the evaluation order fix and only affected the popped case, is not important to performance.
Fixes [Bug #4443]

History

#1 - 03/26/2011 10:25 PM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)
- Status changed from Open to Assigned

#2 - 06/11/2011 03:49 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

#3 - 06/11/2011 04:04 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)
http://redmine.ruby-lang.org/issues/4440

#4 - 06/11/2011 10:29 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
- ruby -v changed from ruby 1.9.2p0 (2010-08-18 revision 29036) [i686-linux] to -

In message "Re: [ruby-dev:43724] [Ruby 1.9 - Bug #4443] odd evaluation order in a multiple assignment" on Sat, 11 Jun 2011 15:49:30 +0900, Koichi Sasada writes:

#5 - 06/11/2011 10:29 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

In message "Re: [ruby-dev:43724] [Ruby 1.9 - Bug #4443] odd evaluation order in a multiple assignment" on Sat, 11 Jun 2011 15:49:30 +0900, Koichi Sasada writes:

#6 - 10/18/2011 09:16 AM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)
- Project changed from Ruby master to 14
- Target version deleted (3.0)

#7 - 10/23/2011 05:21 PM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)
- Project changed from 14 to Ruby master

#8 - 04/10/2012 06:35 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

---

Yusuke Endoh mame@tsq.ne.jp

08/23/2022
Matz.

#9 - 04/10/2012 08:57 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)
まあ、それはそれでいいかなと思います。
ちなみに ISO とかの標準的にはどうなってるんでしょう?
--
Yusuke Endoh mame@tsg.ne.jp

#10 - 04/27/2012 02:28 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
手元にあるJIS x3017のドラフトを見ると現状の評価順(多重代入の場合には右辺が先)が記述してありますね(11.4.2.4)
どうしたもんだか。

#11 - 07/14/2012 02:51 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Assignee changed from matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto) to ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

#12 - 07/14/2012 02:56 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Status changed from Assigned to Closed
duplicated (http://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/4443)

#13 - 10/26/2012 05:33 AM - nahi (Hiroshi Nakamura)
- Status changed from Closed to Open
It looks to be closed by mistake.

#14 - 10/30/2012 09:08 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Category set to core
- Target version set to 2.6
...ドキュメンテーションfeature お願い致しませんか
2.0 はminorでnext minor は考慮します。

#15 - 08/21/2015 09:09 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Description updated

#16 - 01/31/2017 09:01 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Description updated

trzymますうざいが何だっけ?

#17 - 04/28/2017 01:45 PM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)
- Status changed from Open to Assigned

#18 - 01/24/2018 08:36 AM - akr (Akira Tanaka)
関連すると思われるささださんの昔のメールを見つけました: ruby-dev:31579
1) 右辺が重複しないローカル変数の列だったら今まで通り(副作用はないので問題ない)
2) そうじゃなければ,色々コストをかけて順番通りに実行ということにしたいと思います.多分,(1)がほとんどではないかと期待.
ネストした多重代入は (1) にはあてはまりませんが,まぁしょうがない.

#19 - 04/20/2021 12:11 AM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)
I have submitted a pull request to fix multiple assignment evaluation order: https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/4390

#20 - 04/21/2021 05:49 PM - jeremyevans (Jeremy Evans)
- Status changed from Assigned to Closed
Evaluate multiple assignment left hand side before right hand side

In regular assignment, Ruby evaluates the left hand side before the right hand side. For example:

```ruby
foo[0] = bar
```

Calls foo, then bar, then `[]=` on the result of foo.

Previously, multiple assignment didn't work this way. If you did:

```ruby
abc, def, foo[0] = bar, baz
```

Ruby would previously call bar, then baz, then abc, then `def=` on the result of abc, then foo, then `[]=` on the result of foo.

This change makes multiple assignment similar to single assignment, changing the evaluation order of the above multiple assignment code to calling abc, then foo, then bar, then baz, then `def=` on the result of abc, then `[]=` on the result of foo.

Implementing this is challenging with the stack-based virtual machine. We need to keep track of all of the left hand side attribute setter receivers and setter arguments, and then keep track of the stack level while handling the assignment processing, so we can issue the appropriate `topn` instructions to get the receiver. Here's an example of how the multiple assignment is executed, showing the stack and instructions:

```
self
abc
abc, self
abc, foo
abc, foo, 0
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz]
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, bar
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, bar, abc
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, bar, abc, bar
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz, bar, abc, bar, def=
abc, foo, 0, [bar, baz], baz
```

As multiple assignment must deal with splats, post args, and any level of nesting, it gets quite a bit more complex than this in non-trivial cases. To handle this, struct `masgn_state` is added to keep track of the overall state of the mass assignment, which stores a linked list of struct `masgn_attrasgn`, one for each assigned attribute.

This adds a new optimization that replaces a `topn 1/pop` instruction combination with a single `swap` instruction for multiple assignment to non-aref attributes.

This new approach isn't compatible with one of the optimizations previously used, in the case where the multiple assignment return value was not needed, there was no lhs splat, and one of the left hand side used an attribute setter. This removes that optimization. Removing the optimization allowed for removing the `POP_ELEMENT` and `adjust_stack` functions.

This adds a benchmark to measure how much slower multiple assignment is with the correct evaluation order.
This benchmark shows:

- 4-9% decrease for attribute sets
- 14-23% decrease for array member sets
- Basically same speed for local variable sets

Importantly, it shows no significant difference between the popped (where return value of the multiple assignment is not needed) and !popped (where return value of the multiple assignment is needed) cases for attribute and array member sets. This indicates the previous optimization, which was dropped in the evaluation order fix and only affected the popped case, is not important to performance.

Fixes [Bug #4443](https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/4443)

#21 - 05/05/2021 03:24 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)
- Related to Bug #15928: Constant declaration does not conform to JIS 3017:2013 added

#22 - 05/05/2021 03:46 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)
I wrote some concerns over this change in [https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/15928#note-10](https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/15928#note-10).
I think the previous semantics of multiple assignments are better for various reasons.
We could change single assignment order, always evaluate RHS first, like MRuby behaves, if consistency is wanted.