I've been working with FileUtils a good bit, and concluded it could use some refactoring to make the code clearer and easier to work with. Here is the pull request:

https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/30

Essentially, I have removed the method definition loops that occur at the end of the script and replaced them with a simple call (define_command) made for each command as it is defined. This allowed me to use extend self all the way through, rather than having to use module_function in FileUtils and extend self in the Verbose, NoWrite and DryRun "submodules".

Related issues:
Related to Ruby master - Bug #7958: include FileUtils::Verbose gives NoMethod...

Associated revisions
Revision 5cfba33a - 02/20/2012 12:30 PM - shirosaki

lib/fileutils.rb: revert a line modified accidentally at r34669. This fixes mingw test errors in TestDir_M17N. [ruby-core:42728] [Feature #4970]

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@34706 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e
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History

#1 - 12/28/2011 11:54 AM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)
Is current trunk destined to be 2.0? If so, can this get a review and merge if ok?

#2 - 12/28/2011 12:53 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
Hi,
In message "Re: [ruby-core:41834] [ruby-trunk - Feature #4970] FileUtils refactored" on Wed, 28 Dec 2011 11:54:52 +0900, Thomas Sawyer transfire@gmail.com writes:

Is current trunk destined to be 2.0? If so, can this get a review and merge if ok?

Current trunk is to be 2.0. Is anyone willing to review the code?

---

#3 - 02/16/2012 02:26 AM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Aaron Patterson looked at it, his only remarks were that I forgot to remove a spurious comment and that I changed the indentation on private. Since, he said nothing about the implementation itself, I am assuming it looked okay to him.

I would remove the unnecessary comment myself, but I seem to have deleted the repo I was working on, and I am not sure there is a way to get it back such that I can update the same pull request. It would just be easier to merge then remove the comment, and if deemed necessary, rebase to a single commit.

#4 - 02/16/2012 03:23 AM - Anonymous

On Thu, Feb 16, 2012 at 02:26:10AM +0900, Thomas Sawyer wrote:

I would remove the unnecessary comment myself, but I seem to have deleted the repo I was working on, and I am not sure there is a way to get it back such that I can update the same pull request. It would just be easier to merge then remove the comment, and if deemed necessary, rebase to a single commit.

Ya, I think it's basically fine. I have a few more questions that I'll add to the diff. Sorry it's taking me so long to respond on this. :(

I would remove the unnecessary comment myself, but I seem to have deleted the repo I was working on, and I am not sure there is a way to get it back such that I can update the same pull request. It would just be easier to merge then remove the comment, and if deemed necessary, rebase to a single commit.

I don't think it matters too much. Once we have the final patch assembled, I can just apply to trunk without the pull request.

--

Aaron Patterson
http://tenderlovemaking.com/

#5 - 02/16/2012 03:53 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

Hello,
2012/2/16 Aaron Patterson tenderlove@ruby-lang.org:

Ya, I think it's basically fine.

Agreed. Also looks good to me. Thanks.

--

Yusuke Endoh mame@tsg.ne.jp

#6 - 02/18/2012 10:43 AM - h.shirosaki (Hiroshi Shirosaki)

r34669 (trunk): * lib/fileutils.rb: refactored FileUtils methods seems to cause test errors with mingw32.

TestDir_M17N#test_filename_as_bytes_extutf8 = 0.12 s = E
TestDir_M17N#test_filename_extutf8_inteucjp_unrepresentable = 0.18 s = E

This patch reverts a line to the original code and fixes errors.

diff --git a/lib/fileutils.rb b/lib/fileutils.rb
index 8d1009b..46dffbf 100644
--- a/lib/fileutils.rb
+++ b/lib/fileutils.rb
@@ -1342,7 +1342,7 @@ private

 def entries
   opts = {}
Looks like someone had simply misspelled "mignw". I am guessing that's actually the older code, and fu_windows? is the newer. Is that right?

The refactoring I did did not touch that line, so I am guessing it was changed between the time I wrote the refactor and now --which could be since 1) it was a number of months ago and 2) I lost my original branch and had to reconstruct the the whole file and resubmit.

Right. I fixed it at r34146, in the last December.

This issue was solved with changeset r34706.
Thomas, thank you for reporting this issue.
Your contribution to Ruby is greatly appreciated.
May Ruby be with you.