

## Ruby trunk - Bug #6575

### Thread#kill sets rb\_errinfo() to Fixnum 8 after rb\_protect(function, data, &error\_tag)

06/11/2012 08:27 PM - ibc (Iñaki Baz Castillo)

<b>Status:</b> Rejected	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b> ko1 (Koichi Sasada)	
<b>Target version:</b> 1.9.3	
<b>ruby -v:</b> ruby 1.9.3p0 (2011-10-30 revision 33570) [x86_64-linux]	<b>Backport:</b>
<b>Description</b> Using rb_protect() I've realized that when the thread is killed by other thread using Thread#kill, the error_tag passed to rb_protect() is set to 8 and rb_errinfo() returns Fixnum 8:  ret = rb_protect(function, data, &error_tag);  // While executing function() in Ruby land, our thread is // killed by Thread.kill.  // If now I inspect rb_errinfo() it returns Fixnum 8, and // error_tag it's set to integer 8.  Is it the expected behaviour? Wouldn't make more sense rb_errinfo() to return some kind of a new exception ThreadKilledException or whatever instead of Fixnum 8?	
<b>Related issues:</b> Related to Ruby trunk - Bug #5993: Thread.new{ Fiber.new { Thread.exit }.resu... <b>Closed</b> <b>02/10/2012</b>	

#### History

##### #1 - 06/13/2012 07:01 AM - ibc (Iñaki Baz Castillo)

Hi, if this is the expected behavior I would really appreciate a confirmation :)

##### #2 - 06/13/2012 11:53 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

Hi,

(2012/06/11 20:27), ibc (Iñaki Baz Castillo) wrote:

Using rb\_protect() I've realized that when the thread is killed by other thread using Thread#kill, the error\_tag passed to rb\_protect() is set to 8 and rb\_errinfo() returns Fixnum 8:

```
ret = rb_protect(function, data, &error_tag);
```

```
// While executing function() in Ruby land, our thread is  
// killed by Thread.kill.
```

```
// If now I inspect rb_errinfo() it returns Fixnum 8, and  
// error_tag it's set to integer 8.
```

Is it the expected behaviour? Wouldn't make more sense rb\_errinfo() to return some kind of a new exception ThreadKilledException or whatever instead of Fixnum 8?

I don't have an idea.

Could you show us the complete *small* example on it?  
I want to try on my environment.

Thanks,  
Koichi

--

// SASADA Koichi at atdot dot net

**#3 - 06/13/2012 01:33 PM - nagachika (Tomoyuki Chikanaga)**

Hi,

Just for reference. [r35622](#) could be related, or ticket [#5993](#) seems related issue.  
ibc-san, could you try to reproduce the problem on trunk?

Thanks,

**#4 - 06/13/2012 09:29 PM - ibc (Iñaki Baz Castillo)**

Hi, I've installed rvm and ruby-head, which is retrieved from <https://github.com/ruby/ruby/>. Unfortunately the patch you mean, which is this:

<https://github.com/ruby/ruby/commit/38d3b013b7733d9ccd66c011d74c00b35bb704c4>

was reverted:

<https://github.com/ruby/ruby/commit/cc08e95b206f8c98af9509f99339f3c8655481e7>

so...

**#5 - 06/13/2012 09:47 PM - ibc (Iñaki Baz Castillo)**

BTW, is Ruby-head (ruby 2.0.0dev (2012-06-13 trunk 36062) [x86\_64-linux]) stable enough?

Running my C extension (which uses blocking region for running a libuv loop) with 1.9.3-p0 works perfectly, but using 2.0.0dev I get assertion errors due to states that should never happen in my C code. Are there important changes in the blocking-region stuff in 2.0.0?

**#6 - 06/14/2012 10:26 AM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)**

- Status changed from Open to Feedback

nagachika (Tomoyuki Chikanaga) wrote:

Hi,

Just for reference. [r35622](#) could be related, or ticket [#5993](#) seems related issue.  
ibc-san, could you try to reproduce the problem on trunk?

The correct fix of it is [r35625](#).

The commit message maybe helps your problem.

**#7 - 06/14/2012 11:59 PM - ibc (Iñaki Baz Castillo)**

Thanks, that's more or less the workaround I've applied in my code: if rb\_protect() detects an error and rb\_errinfo() returns a Fixnum, then I don't raise it, but instead set the error to Interrupt and then raise it.

**#8 - 11/06/2012 08:32 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)**

- Status changed from Feedback to Rejected

- Assignee set to ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

This is not a bug. So I'm closing this ticket.

Because Thread#kill should not be rescue'd so easily, it does throw a special exception which has no class, to make it hard to rescue.

Incidentally, the value 8 means INT2FIX(TAG\_FATAL) which is set in rb\_threadptr\_to\_kill:

```
1690 static void
1691 rb_threadptr_to_kill(rb_thread_t *th)
1692 {
1693     rb_threadptr_async_errinfo_clear(th);
1694     th->status = THREAD_TO_KILL;
1695     th->errinfo = INT2FIX(TAG_FATAL);
1696     TH_JUMP_TAG(th, TAG_FATAL);
1697 }
```

If you want to know the detailed rationale, please ask ko1 in the mailing list.  
If you wish, please open another feature request with the concrete motivation.

--

Yusuke Endoh [mame@tsg.ne.jp](mailto:mame@tsg.ne.jp)