This isn't exactly a bug, as much as a request for clarification. I was looking at the semantics of the `((#{<=>}))` operator and noticed something curious. For most classes, when evaluating `((#{thing <=> other}))`, if `((#{other}))` is not of a compatible type, then `((#{nil}))` is returned.

The exceptions (as far as I can find) are String and Time. For the Time class, if `((#{other}))` is not a kind of `((#{Time}))`, then the reverse comparison `((#{other <=> thing}))` is tried and the inverse of this result is returned (if not nil). For String, the reverse comparison is only tried IF `((#{other.respond_to?(:to_str)}))`, HOWEVER the referenced `((#{other.to_str}))` method is never called. For example:

```ruby
class NotAString
  def <=>(other)
    1
  end
  def to_str
    raise "I'm not a string!"
  end
end

"test" <=> NotAString.new #=> -1
```

This seems very counterintuitive to me. I would expect that if my class implemented `((#{to_str}))`, that the return value of this would be used for comparison.
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History

#1 - 11/14/2012 11:53 AM - jballanc (Joshua Ballanco)
I would expect something like the following patch makes more sense?

diff --git a/string.c b/string.c
index c63f59a..c9eed27 100644
--- a/string.c
+++ b/string.c
@@ -2385,8 +2385,12 @@ rb_str_cmp_m(VALUE str1, VALUE str2)
 long result;
 if (!RB_TYPE_P(str2, T_STRING)) {
   if (!rb_respond_to(str2, rb_intern("to_str"))) {
-     return Qnil;
+     return Qnil;
     if (rb_respond_to(str2, rb_intern("to_str"))) {
       VALUE tmp = rb_funcall(str2, rb_intern("to_str"), 0);
       if (!RB_TYPE_P(tmp, T_STRING)) {
-         result = rb_str_cmp(str1, tmp); } else if (!rb_respond_to(str2, rb_intern("<=>"))) {
-         return Qnil;
+         } } } result = rb_str_cmp(str1, tmp); } else if (!rb_respond_to(str2, rb_intern("<=>"))) {
+         return Qnil;
+     } 
+   } 
+ } else if (!rb_respond_to(str2, rb_intern("<=>"))) { return Qnil;

#2 - 11/12/2012 12:40 PM - bitsweat (Jeremy Daer)
When an object responds to #to_str, it's saying "I am a string." When an object responds to #to_s, it's saying "I have a string representation."

So checking for #to_str here is enough to know whether str2 is a string and can be compared.

For more background on implicit vs explicit coercion in Ruby: http://briancarper.net/blog/98/

#3 - 11/14/2012 05:55 PM - jballanc (Joshua Ballanco)
As the page you linked points out, #to_str is an implicit cast. I.e. It should be used internally to retrieve the string representation of an object. I think this is in keeping with all other uses of #to_str in Ruby source.

Another thing to note is that currently in Ruby if you have an object that provides #to_str but not #<=>, then it cannot be compared to a string object.

class Foo
def to_str
  "my string"
end
end

test" < Foo.new #=> ArgumentError: comparison of String with Foo failed

#4 - 11/15/2012 07:57 AM - bitsweat (Jeremy Daer)
"It should be used internally to retrieve the string representation of an object." That's explicit coercion. Implicit coercion with #to_str means the object acts a string and the method needn't be called.

This method is used for more than its return value. It's in a strange limbo world between Ruby and the C API :)

The presence of #to_str indicates that the object obeys an entire String contract such that the C API can work with the object without making Ruby method calls. You note correctly that providing #to_str but not #<=> prohibits comparison. That's because by omitting #<=> you've already broken the "I am a string" contract.

Check out how time.c for another example of checking #to_str and, more generally, see rb_check_convert_type for many other examples of implicit coercion in practice: to_path, to_int, to_ary, etc.
#5 - 11/20/2012 02:00 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

jballanc (Joshua Ballanco) wrote:

I would expect something like the following patch makes more sense?

You can use rb_check_funcall().

#6 - 11/21/2012 07:54 PM - jballanc (Joshua Ballanco)

- File string_cmp.diff added

The presence of #to_str indicates that the object obeys an entire String contract such that the C API can work with the object without making Ruby method calls.

Hmm... I was always under the impression that the distinction between #to_s and #to_str is that #to_s provides a (potentially lossy) string representation of any object, but #to_str will return a "string equivalent" of the object. As for the C API, the rb_str_to_str method does call #to_str if vt#to_str exists and v is not already a string. I guess it would be good to get some clarification on this issue.

You can use rb_check_funcall().

Thank you for the pointer, nobu! Actually, in looking at the implementation of String#<=> again I found some other oddities. For example, if Other#to_str is defined and Other#<=> returns a float, then "a string" <=> Other.new will return a float. I feel like this breaks the contract of #<=> as it should only ever return 1, 0, or -1. Anyhow, I've attached an updated patch that also includes some test fixes.

(Note: all tests in make test-all that passed before this patch pass after, however rubyspec will need to be updated. I will send a pull-request directly to the rubyspec project if this gets accepted.)

#7 - 11/24/2012 05:41 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Status changed from Open to Assigned
- Assignee set to nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)
- Target version set to 2.0.0

#8 - 11/30/2012 05:43 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Status changed from Assigned to Closed
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

This issue was solved with changeset r38044.

Joshua, thank you for reporting this issue. Your contribution to Ruby is greatly appreciated. May Ruby be with you.
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