



I'm not against these constant. Just wanted to note that (for instance) max Fixnum can portally be obtained via:

```
1 << (1.size * 8 - 2) - 1
```

Not on JRuby. JRuby's Fixnum is always a full signed 64-bit integer.

Oh, good to know that. So you always use boxed types and unboxing is left to the VM.

**#6 - 12/06/2012 02:29 PM - headius (Charles Nutter)**

On Wed, Dec 5, 2012 at 4:11 PM, Urabe Shyouhei [shyouhei@ruby-lang.org](mailto:shyouhei@ruby-lang.org) wrote:

Oh, good to know that. So you always use boxed types and unboxing is left to the VM.

Correct.

**#7 - 12/06/2012 11:28 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)**

- Assignee set to mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Target version changed from 2.6 to 2.0.0

Endo san, since it's a small and useful change, can I merge this for 2.0 even after spec freeze?  
Of course, you can reject as a release manager. In that case, file this proposal as "next minor" again.

Matz.

**#8 - 12/07/2012 12:00 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)**

- Status changed from Open to Assigned

- Assignee changed from mame (Yusuke Endoh) to matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto) wrote:

Endo san, since it's a small and useful change, can I merge this for 2.0 even after spec freeze?  
Of course, you can reject as a release manager. In that case, file this proposal as "next minor" again.

As a release manager, okay. Because matz accepted the proposal :-)

Personally, however, I'm not sure when it is useful.

I guess that you want to avoid an boxed integer for saving memory on an embedded system, right?  
But I don't know how it is helpful.

In addition, I don't understand why we should distinguish between Fixnum and Bignum.  
Isn't the difference just an implementation-defined technicality?  
I hope that they will be integrated to one class and that the difference will become invisible to users.  
I'm afraid if Fixnum::Max will make the integration difficult.

--

Yusuke Endoh [mame@tsg.ne.jp](mailto:mame@tsg.ne.jp)

**#9 - 12/07/2012 12:38 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)**

It is especially useful for mruby that does not have Bignum, but I believe it's useful to tell how big fixnum is portable among Ruby implementations.

Matz.

**#10 - 12/09/2012 09:07 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)**

Still, I'm not sure what problem (in mruby?) is resolved by the existence of Fixnum::MAX.

How:

```
n3 = n1 + n2  
raise "unboxed" if n3 > Fixnum::MAX
```

differs from:

```
n3 = n1 + n2  
raise "unboxed" if !n3.instance_of?(Fixnum)
```

? Just easier to read?

--

Yusuke Endoh [mame@tsg.ne.jp](mailto:mame@tsg.ne.jp)

**#11 - 12/10/2012 02:29 PM - Anonymous**

Hi,

In message "Re: [ruby-core:50708] [ruby-trunk - Feature [#7517](#)] Fixnum::MIN,MAX" on Sun, 9 Dec 2012 21:07:37 +0900, "mame (Yusuke Endoh)" [mame@tsg.ne.jp](mailto:mame@tsg.ne.jp) writes:

|Still, I'm not sure what problem (in mruby?) is resolved by the existence of Fixnum::MAX.

|

|How:

|

| n3 = n1 + n2

| raise "unboxed" if n3 > Fixnum::MAX

|

|differs from:

|

| n3 = n1 + n2

| raise "unboxed" if !n3.instance\_of?(Fixnum)

|

|? Just easier to read?

For cases like the following:

```
printf "integers %d .. %d are unboxed\n", Fixnum::MIN, Fixnum::MAX
```

you don't have to create Fixnum instances.

matz.

**#12 - 12/11/2012 11:04 PM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)**

What is the use case?

If this is an mruby context, I suspect they should be Integer::MAX and Integer::MIN.

**#13 - 12/12/2012 08:23 AM - Anonymous**

Hi,

In message "Re: [ruby-core:50760] [ruby-trunk - Feature [#7517](#)] Fixnum::MIN,MAX" on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 23:04:30 +0900, "naruse (Yui NARUSE)" [naruse@airemix.jp](mailto:naruse@airemix.jp) writes:

|What is the use case?

See [#11](#) in portable way (i.e. portable among CRuby, JRuby, mruby, etc.)

|If this is an mruby context, I suspect they should be Integer::MAX and Integer::MIN.

Maybe, since mruby doesn't have Bignum. But CRuby cannot have Integer::MAX, so my intention to be portable will not be satisfied.

matz.

**#14 - 12/13/2012 10:34 AM - tarui (Masaya Tarui)**

Hi,

It cannot imagine except the use-case of liking to know how far an integer being treated. so, I think Integer::MAX better than Fixnum::MAX.

CRuby's Integer::MAX is INFINITY, isn't it?

If I introduce a Integer subclass for extending a digit number on mruby, is it a Fixnum?

Currently, Fixnum can have instance, and It is an immediate class.

But, Is Fixnum changed into an abstract class?

**#15 - 12/13/2012 02:53 PM - Anonymous**

Hi,

In message "Re: [ruby-core:50849] [ruby-trunk - Feature [#7517](#)] Fixnum::MIN,MAX"

on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 10:34:07 +0900, "tarui (Masaya Tarui)" [tarui@prx.jp](mailto:tarui@prx.jp) writes:

```
|Hi,  
|  
|It cannot imagine except the use-case of liking to know how far an integer being treated.  
|So, I think Integer::MAX better then Fixnum::MAX.  
|  
|CRuby's Integer::MAX is INFINITY, isn't it?
```

INFINITY is a float. Are you proposing introducing Bignum::INFINITY?  
In any way, Integer::MAX being (Bignum::)INFINITY do not have any additional info than having Bignum. So I don't consider it useful.

```
|If I introduce a Integer subclass for extending a digit number on mruby, is it a Fixnum?  
|Currently, Fixnum can have instance, and It is an immediate class.  
|But, Is Fixnum changed into an abstract class?
```

Fixnum is by definition immediate number, so that it has bound limit (thus I proposal MIN and MAX for it). Integer is not. Even if I add another immediate integer (say SmallInt a la Smalltalk) to mruby, it has no relation to Fixnum.

```
matz.
```

#### #16 - 01/29/2013 05:16 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- Target version changed from 2.0.0 to 2.6

#### #17 - 08/30/2014 10:30 PM - cremno (cremno phobia)

Even if the use-cases aren't really convincing, I think the informational purpose alone is a good enough argument to add it. If you search for "ruby fixnum max" or something similar, you'll find the interest is there, but the solution(s) usually only work in CRuby and are not as easy to understand as the proposed constants.

```
diff --git a/numeric.c b/numeric.c  
index 1e971f4..b57c686 100644  
--- a/numeric.c  
+++ b/numeric.c  
@@ -4062,6 +4062,15 @@ Init_Numeric(void)
```

```
    rb_cFixnum = rb_define_class("Fixnum", rb_cInteger);  
  
+ /*  
+  * The minimum value of a Fixnum.  
+  */  
+ rb_define_const(rb_cFixnum, "MIN", LONG2FIX(FIXNUM_MIN));  
+ /*  
+  * The maximum value of a Fixnum.  
+  */  
+ rb_define_const(rb_cFixnum, "MAX", LONG2FIX(FIXNUM_MAX));  
+  
+ rb_define_method(rb_cFixnum, "to_s", fix_to_s, -1);  
+ rb_define_alias(rb_cFixnum, "inspect", "to_s");
```

```
diff --git a/test/ruby/test_fixnum.rb b/test/ruby/test_fixnum.rb  
index 8b2cf2e..8c896ec 100644  
--- a/test/ruby/test_fixnum.rb  
+++ b/test/ruby/test_fixnum.rb  
@@ -312,4 +312,20 @@ class TestFixnum < Test::Unit::TestCase  
    assert_equal(1, 5.remainder(4))  
    assert_predicate(4.remainder(Float::NAN), :nan?)  
  end  
+  
+ def test_min  
+   assert_kind_of(Fixnum, Fixnum::MIN)  
+   assert_kind_of(Bignum, Fixnum::MIN - 1)  
+   if RUBY_ENGINE == 'ruby'  
+     assert_equal(-2 ** (1.size * 8 - 2), Fixnum::MIN)  
+   end  
+ end  
+  
+ def test_max  
+   assert_kind_of(Fixnum, Fixnum::MAX)  
+   assert_kind_of(Bignum, Fixnum::MAX + 1)  
+   if RUBY_ENGINE == 'ruby'
```

```
+     assert_equal(2 ** (1.size * 8 - 2) - 1, Fixnum::MAX)
+   end
+ end
end
```

**#18 - 09/01/2014 04:49 PM - headius (Charles Nutter)**

An obvious use case would be to make algorithms know how large an integer they can represent in Fixnum without overflowing to Bignum. This is strictly a Fixnum thing, too, so I think having the constants on Fixnum is exactly right. In JRuby, Fixnum::MAX and MIN would be 64-bit signed integer max and min.

**#19 - 01/10/2015 01:19 PM - akr (Akira Tanaka)**

- Related to Feature #10728: Warning for Fixnum#size to use RbConfig::SIZEOF['long'] added

**#20 - 12/25/2017 06:15 PM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)**

- Target version deleted (2.6)