

## Ruby master - Bug #7819

### configure script doesn't recognize valid options

02/10/2013 06:25 AM - telemachus (Peter Aronoff)

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b>		
<b>Target version:</b>	1.9.3	
<b>ruby -v:</b>	ruby 1.9.3p385 (2013-02-06 revision 39114) [x86_64-darwin12.2.0]	<b>Backport:</b>

#### Description

When configuring the latest version of Ruby, the configure script issues warnings about "unrecognized" but valid (?) build options.

To reproduce:

1. Untar the 1.9.3-p385.tar.gz
2. Give the following options to the configure script:

```
./configure --prefix=/usr/local/ruby-1.9.3-p385 \  
--with-openssl-dir=/usr/local \  
--with-readline-dir=/usr/local \  
--with-yaml-dir=/usr/local \  
--with-gdbm-dir=/usr/local \  
--with-libffi-dir=/usr/local
```

The result is this warning, both at the top and the bottom of the configuration output:

```
configure: WARNING: unrecognized options: --with-openssl-dir, --with-readline-dir, --with-yaml-dir  
, --with-gdbm-dir, --with-libffi-dir
```

Based on discussion in irc and the results of the build, I think that the warnings are bogus. That is, those options are recognized and used in the build.

#### History

##### #1 - 02/10/2013 09:17 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Subject changed from *configure script doesn't recognize valid options* to *configure script doesn't recognize valid options*

- Status changed from *Open* to *Closed*

You're right, it's just a warning.

Or, just use only --with-opt-dir=/usr/local instead.

##### #2 - 02/27/2013 06:59 PM - Wonbyte (Greg Sieranski)

So the --with options are correct to use? Even with Ruby-2.0.0-p0?

##### #3 - 02/27/2013 07:03 PM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)

Wonbyte (Greg Sieranski) wrote:

So the --with options are correct to use? Even with Ruby-2.0.0-p0?

Yes, it is correct.

Why it shows such warnings is configure itself actually ignores them, but later build process use them.