Ruby master - Feature #7907
Give meaning to staby word
02/22/2013 03:06 AM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Status: Rejected
Priority: Normal
Assignee: matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
Target version: 3.0

Description
=begin
I noticed that ->word doesn't mean anything, i.e.

->foo
SyntaxError: (irb):4: syntax error, unexpected "in", expecting keyword_do_LAMBDA or tLAMBEG
from /opt/Ruby/1.9.3-p327/bin/irb:12:in `'

If that is always so, then could it be given a meaning as a shorthand for method()? i.e.

->foo
would be the same as writing
method(:foo).to_proc
=end

Related issues:
Is duplicate of Ruby master - Feature #7906: Giving meaning to ->foo

Rejected 02/22/2013

History

#1 - 02/22/2013 09:04 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)
- Description updated
- Assignee set to matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

#2 - 02/22/2013 12:10 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)
- Status changed from Open to Rejected

=begin
I think filling the syntax hole eagerly is a bad idea.

Besides that, I don't think making ((%->foo{}%)) as method(:foo).to_proc seems a good idea, since foo in ((%->foo{}%)) is a argument name, not a method name.

Matz.
=end

#3 - 02/22/2013 12:59 PM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)
Ah, ->foo[] did not know that the parenthesis could be left out.

Ok, I'll suggest slight modification then, b/c it still would be nice to have a shorter notation (not just to fill a syntax hole). Could it be a symbol, i.e.

->:foo.

#4 - 02/22/2013 11:49 PM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)
Once a issue has been rejected, is it necessary to open a new case for a modified form of the proposal? In other words is any one going to see the change if it has already been rejected? As with this case?

#5 - 02/23/2013 02:05 AM - rosenfeld (Rodrigo Rosenfeld Rosas)
People will see the changes but when they're looking for open issues to decide what to do about them they won't touch closed issues ;)
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