

Ruby trunk - Feature #7907

Give meaning to staby word

02/22/2013 03:06 AM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Status:	Rejected	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:	matz (Yukihiko Matsumoto)	
Target version:	Next Major	
Description		
<pre>=begin I noticed that ->word doesn't mean anything. i.e. ->foo SyntaxError: (irb):4: syntax error, unexpected '\n', expecting keyword_do_LAMBDA or tLAMBEG from /opt/Ruby/1.9.3-p327/bin/irb:12:in `'</pre>		
If that is always so, then could it be given a meaning as a shorthand for method()? i.e.		
<pre>->foo would be the same as writing method(:foo).to_proc =end</pre>		
Related issues:		
Is duplicate of Ruby trunk - Feature #7906: Giving meaning to ->foo		Rejected 02/22/2013

History

#1 - 02/22/2013 09:04 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- Description updated

- Assignee set to matz (Yukihiko Matsumoto)

#2 - 02/22/2013 12:10 PM - matz (Yukihiko Matsumoto)

- Status changed from Open to Rejected

```
=begin
```

I think filling the syntax hole eagerly is a bad idea.

Besides that, I don't think making ((%->foo%)) as method(:foo).to_proc seems a good idea, since foo in ((%->foo{}%)) is a argument name, not a method name.

Matz.

```
=end
```

#3 - 02/22/2013 12:59 PM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Ah, ->foo{} did not know that the parenthesis could be left out.

Ok, I'll suggest slight modification then, b/c it still would be nice to have a shorter notation (not just to fill a syntax hole). Could it be a symbol, i.e.

->:foo.

#4 - 02/22/2013 11:49 PM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Once a issue has been rejected, is it necessary to open a new case for a modified form of the proposal? In other words is any one going to see the change if it has already been rejected? As with this case?

#5 - 02/23/2013 02:05 AM - rosenfeld (Rodrigo Rosenfeld Rosas)

People will see the changes but when they're looking for open issues to decide what to do about them they won't touch closed issues :)