

## Ruby master - Feature #9909

### why shouldn't constant lookup check the nesting of module's name

06/06/2014 02:43 PM - rits (First Last)

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Status:</b> Open   |  |
| <b>Priority:</b> Normal   |  |
| <b>Assignee:</b>  |  |
| <b>Target version:</b>  |  |
| <b>Description</b><br>module A<br>module B<br>end<br>end<br><br>above, B will have access to A's constants<br>but below, it won't<br><br>module A::B<br>end<br><br>is there a reason why the nesting of the name should not be part of the constant resolution algorithm?<br><br>when adding or reopening (deeply) nested modules/classes the :: syntax would be preferable, but you lose constant resolution |  |

#### History

#1 - 06/07/2014 02:18 AM - kernigh (George Koehler)

See discussion in feature [#6810](#).